

U.S. Department of the Interior Bison Conservation Science Initiative

Amanda Hardy, PhD NPS Partner / DOI Bison Project Facilitator Wildlife Conservation Society

Species of Common Conservation Concern: Continental Scale Bison Conservation

XXI Meeting of Trilateral Committee for Wildlife & Ecosystem Conservation & Management Ottawa, Canada May 19, 2016











IUCN SSC BISON SPECIALIST GROUP



#### DOI Bison Portfolio

Agency	# Herds	Approx. # Bison	Approx. Area (ac)
National Park Service	10	9,740	2,897,180
US Fish and Wildlife Service	7	2,340	468,240
Bureau of Land Management	2	775	1,700,000
Total	19	12,055	4,705,420



#### **DOI Bison Portfolio**

Herd Name	State	Managing Agency	Fenced or Ranging	Approx. Herd Size	Approx. Area Size (ac)
Book Cliffs	LIT		Donaina	450	1,400,000
Henry Mountains	UI	DLIVI / UI DVVK	Ranging	325	300,000
Fort Niobrara National Wildlife Refuge	NE	FWS	Fenced	350	17,000
National Bison Range	MT	FWS	Fenced	380	19,000
Neal Smith National Wildlife Refuge	IA	FWS	Fenced	70	700
Rocky Mtn. Arsenal National Wildlife Refuge	СО	FWS	Fenced	75	12,000
Sullys Hill National Game Preserve	ND	FWS	Fenced	25	540
Wichita Mountains National Wildlife Refuge	OK	FWS	Fenced	640	59,000
Grand Teton Nat'l Park / Nat'l Elk Refuge	WY	NPS / FWS	Ranging	800	360,000
Badlands National Park	SD	NPS	Fenced	650	64,000
Chickasaw National Recreation Area	OK	NPS	Fenced	10	80
Grand Canyon National Park / House Rock	AZ	NPS / AZ G&F	Ranging	300	23,000
Tallgrass Prairie National Preserve	KS	NPS	Fenced	20	1,100
Theodore Roosevelt National Park	ND	NPS	Fenced	500	71,000
Wind Cave National Park	SD	NPS	Fenced	450	28,000
Wrangell-St. Elias National Park and Preserve	AK	NPS / AK F&G	Ranging	110	100,000
Yellowstone National Park	MT WY	NPS	Ranging	4,900	2,200,000
Great Sand Dunes Nat'l Park and Preserve	СО	TNC / NPS	Fenced	2,000	50,000

#### DOI Bison Science Initiative Goals

- Build Collaborative Science & Stewardship Team
- Establish standardized baseline herd genetic/demographic data for population viability analyses and modeling



Identify key bison conservation threats, opportunities & meta-population management approaches

Develop collaborative management strategies

Develop adaptive management evaluation methods

Communications and Outreach / Human Dimensions

### 2016 Objectives

- Engage collaborators, science collaboration group; refine key science and management questions
- Population Viability Analyses (PVA)
  - Collect genetic & demographic data to parameterize models
  - Model individual herd viabilities under current management
  - Model potential management scenarios including meta-population strategies
- Collaboratively assess potential conservation and shared stewardship opportunities, contingency scenarios

# Population Viability Analyses



Builds on IUCN Red List Assessment PVA Process

### **Vortex Simulation Modeling**

Models population dynamics as discrete sequential events that occur according to defined probabilities.

Simulates effects of:

Life history traits, genetics Specific threats/management Stochastic processes Demographic stochasticity Environmental variation Catastrophes / Disease Genetic drift and inbreeding on the future of a population.

# Vortex 10

A stochastic simulation of the extinction process Version 10.0.0.4



### Vortex Model Outputs



Distribution of outcomes across large number of runs (iterations) – mean and SD

- Population size
- Trend (population growth or decline) stochastic r
- Probability of and time to extinction
- Loss of genetic variation (heterozygosity, # alleles, # lethal alleles, mean inbreeding, genetic distance)

## Vortex Inputs: Population characteristics

- Individual or multiple populations
- Movement among populations
- Options for removal (e.g., harvest, cull)

- Catastrophes (e.g., disease outbreak)
- Vital rates and EV can be populationspecific
- Carrying capacity and future trends in K

# Vortex Inputs: Demographic rates

© JOHANE JANELL

Mating system
Reproductive lifespan
Age- and sex-specific

- fecundity and mortality rates
- Maximum longevity

- Options for densitydependence effects
- Environmental variation in rates
- Options for individual characteristics that can affect demographic rates

#### 2015 Red List Workshop: Herd-Specific Inputs

- w \*0 & (E1K IST.) 76 ff br. for Graf Females - 3-20 use for - 5% (3y) und pps - 5020 (A-5y) Kerthy Dennis will - 8070 (6-17y) - 50% (18-19y) - 20% (20y) BETH ) (Wind Main S Cave Females - 2-20 - 1070 (2yr) send to group -6770 pr reat - 5D=10% (7yrs) Snot used for these 9 pops - their Plains pops are at edge of range acting north latitude us or high elevation of acting 2 bred. port don - look at pop brey from al Din

#### Mortality Rates

Scenario Settings

Species Descr

State Variable

Reproductive

Reproductive

**Mortality Rate** 

Catastrophes

Mate Monopo

Initial Populat

Carrying Capa

Harvest

Dispersal

puon	Mortality of females as %			
s		Population1		
	Mortality from age 0 to 1	30		
System	SD in 0 to 1 mortality due to EV	7.5		
Rates	Mortality from age 1 to 2	10		
	SD in 1 to 2 mortality due to EV	2.5		
lization ion Size	Mortality from age 2 to 3	5		
	SD in 2 to 3 mortality due to EV	1.25		
	Annual mortality after age 3	=5+(35*(A>10))		
	SD in mortality after age 3	=1.25+(8.75*(A>		

Mortality of males as % Copy values fro

Supplement	ation		Population1
Genetics		Mortality from age 0 to 1	33
		SD in 0 to 1 mortality due to EV	8.25
Copy input value	es from	Mortality from age 1 to 2	11
	~	SD in 1 to 2 mortality due to EV	2.75
this section	~	Mortality from age 2 to 3	8
to subsequent populations		SD in 2 to 3 mortality due to EV	2
	Сору	Mortality from age 3 to 4	8
		SD in 3 to 4 mortality due to EV	2

MACKENZIE ( "AS) Cattle reactes in N=714 (2013) - for column how K(biological) = 4000 yestudos fra Grea KEImposed) = 2000 nortur - 0.33 of many O Experienced Authray 2415 ago - 1600 to 700 (all ago + say cleased) = fatal OPraior to this, ~ 10% mort an agreed why retrien of) Chronic D - a affect vite 1 rates in of actives - show in impact of THREATS chronic - uli vital rates (Spartition EV from DISENSE - Anthray outbreaks mijor hudbaccust frac DISEASE - TB Brucellosis Jin presence be officing of wolves, SEVERE WINTERS, FLOODING, FIRES -> handled FAD (foreign animal disease) out break > national congoing (both countries) tose mist on the rind innernative CLIMATE CHANGE - Jacknowledged, not incl. - not sure of impact on bison rendere to be - way after land available to bison - one suggestion: + EV by some ant. ? Further discussion ? - compile existing into + how it night a flect bism Look at cat. events (50%) in these 9 herds + risk of such cet. events menis vise toal 100°['E^(-2.3+(0.738\*A)-(0.036\*A\*A))]/(1+['E^(-2.3+(0.738\*A)-(0.036\*A\*A))])\*[1 ((A>15)\*0.5)] 70 -65 -40





Herding herd managers and biologists

- Collecting herd demographic information
- Collecting genetic data and genetic samples
  - Genetic analyses at UC Davis Veterinary Genetics Lab
  - Archiving samples at American Natural History Museum
- Summer workshop:
  - Assess/adjust PVA model parameterization & preliminary outputs
  - Collaboratively discuss potential management scenarios
- Fall: Model management scenarios

# Next steps?

Meta-database development

Human Dimensions Communications & Outreach

**SCALE UP ecological restoration collaborations** 

# Application beyond DOI?

Opportunity to assess continentalwide species conservation strategies and opportunities?



#### Questions?





#### ahardy@wcs.org 406.580.5697

Johane Janelle O











WCS